

Appendix C:- Summary of Formal Objections and Officer Responses

Ref	Objection	Number of Objectors	Officer response
1	Totally unnecessary as drivers generally adhere to speed limit in Bath, particularly around Odd Down.	1	Roads where a 20mph speed limit is proposed are mostly residential and therefore speeds should be reduced sufficiently to ensure that they are adhered to as much as possible. It is expected that in time drivers will understand the reason for the 20mph speed limit and adapt their behaviour to be more considerate to other road users and
	Waste of Money	2	Money has been specifically allocated to this scheme. It would not be available for other works. A large number of the BaNES population would benefit from this money, Whereas, if it was targeted at specific sites fewer people
	Increases noise and air pollution.	1	Traffic travelling at a slower speed will tend to move/flow more smoothly, than when at a higher speed which results in stopping and starting.
	Would encourage use of mobile phones whilst driving	1	It's illegal to use a hand-held mobile when driving on the road, even when stopped at traffic lights or stuck in traffic.
2	Most of the roads listed are short cul-de-sacs.	2	The intention is to make as many residential roads a 20 mph limit. By making neighbourhoods safer and more attractive, a greater number of people will be encouraged to walk, cycle and spend time in their local community. The proposed TRO's will legally make those roads 20mph
	Main problem roads are Bradford Rd and North Rd.	1	In general, only appropriate residential streets have been chosen to have a 20mph limit. Main roads are generally excluded, but where an A-roads passes through a built-up area with slower speeds it may also be included.
3	General support, but would like to see Southstoke Rd included.	2	This is an oversight, where the TRO plan clearly shows the intention for Southstoke Rd proposed as a 20mph speed limit, but the schedule inadvertently doesn't reflect this.